

From: Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 4:07 PM
To: McMillan, Andy (ECY); Lund, Perry (ECY)
Cc: Hruby, Tom (ECY)
Subject: RE: hola

Andy, I just tried reaching you on your cell. Let's talk tomorrow.

Tom, please read this thread from the bottom. Can either Tom or Perry set up a conference call? I can be reached at 206-524-6858. 1pm works well for me.

At some point I think we should all delete this email thread.

Thanks, Erik

From: McMillan, Andy (ECY)
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 4:03 PM
To: Lund, Perry (ECY); Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Subject: RE: hola

I am available Friday between 1-3. I recommend we include Tom in the initial conversation unless you guys think otherwise.

From: Lund, Perry (ECY)
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 6:51 AM
To: Stockdale, Erik (ECY); McMillan, Andy (ECY)
Subject: RE: hola

I'm in the field today. Here tomorrow. I hope you're feeling better, Erik.

From: Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Sent: Wed 3/31/2010 6:38 PM
To: Lund, Perry (ECY); McMillan, Andy (ECY)
Subject: RE: hola

Yes, agreed.

I'll be working from home tomorrow as I've got a raging head cold. Are you two available for a phone call? I'd say let's start with the three of us, and Kathy if she's available, and then take some suggestions to Gordon.

Thanks, Erik

From: Lund, Perry (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 4:34 PM
To: McMillan, Andy (ECY); Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Subject: Re: hola

Thanks, Erik. We should continue this conversation, but it needs to be broader than us. Kathy must be involved, and Gordon had some good ideas.

Perry J Lund

From: McMillan, Andy (ECY)
To: Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Cc: Lund, Perry (ECY)
Sent: Wed Mar 31 15:51:08 2010
Subject: RE: hola

Erik

I am disappointed to hear what Kenn had to say. I believe that many of the statements you highlighted are misleading or untrue.

I will be glad to talk with you, Tom , Perry etc. to figure out how we respond.

Andy

From: Stockdale, Erik (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 1:30 PM
To: McMillan, Andy (ECY)
Cc: Lund, Perry (ECY)
Subject: hola

Hey Andy, hope you are doing OK. You missed an interesting meeting last week. Okay, I lie some times.

We (Gordon, Tom, Paula, Perry, Kathy) met with Ken Brooks, Don Flora et al. in Port Hadlock to discuss the Jefferson County SMP that is before Ecology for review.

He said some stuff that I don't think we should leave unanswered. I'd like to go over what he said, pull in Tom Hruby, and consider setting up a conference call with Ken. Though he says he's retired, he keeps popping up in Bellevue, San Juan, Kitsap, and other places.

Some highlights:

- We ignored Ken's supplemental BAS document, and our response was an unscientific diatribe.
- You told Ken that Ecology didn't want to litigate the Jefferson County CAO. ³Andy said let's negotiate², implying that his supplemental BAS was a problem for us and we were concerned that we wouldn't win and would rather settle.
- 14 references didn't support the conclusions made by Ecology.
- Sheldon et al. was incomplete because we ignored key documents.
- He hasn't seen any scientific rigor in Ecology's guidance documents.
- He's appalled by the lack of intellectual rigor and scientific integrity in Ecology's work.
- We haven't done our homework, period.
- There's no proof that existing buffers in Jefferson County don't work.
- He wants ³showing of harm² to be rigorous.
- There's no mention of the toxicity of tropalones in wood in our BAS (implying this was a fatal flaw).
- Terrestrial insects, shade don't support salmon in marine shorelines.

- The role of large woody debris in salmonid ecology is misguided. There isn't a tree to be seen in the copper river in Alaska, yet the river teems with salmon. Therefore wood isn't necessary.
- ³Who says that 90% removal of a particular pollutant is what a buffer should be designed for?²
- ³It's incomprehensible with all the criticism of Ecology's so-called peer reviewed BAS that the agency continues to push it on local governments.²

Perry may have other notes of some of his statements.

Am not feeling well and am going to head home to rest. Pls. don't forward this email.

Let's talk in the near future about a response strategy.

Thanks, Erik